



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
09/904,815	07/13/2001	Robert S. Blackmore	POU920000147US1	POU920000147US1 4435	
46369 75	90 11/03/2005		EXAMINER		
HESLIN ROT	HENBERG FARLEY &	LE, HIEU C			
5 COLUMBIA	CIRCLE				
ALBANY, NY 12203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2142		
			DATE MAIL ED. 11/02/2004	DATE MAILED, 11/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/904,815	BLACKMORE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hieu c. Le	2142	

	Hieu c. Le	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (BOX)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further contained to the proposed in the proposed form of the proposed in the proposed form of the proposed form of</li></ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO <sup>-</sup> w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	• • • •	mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.</li> </ol>		I be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>NONE</i> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
13. Other:	andrew		
		CALDWELL PATENT EXAMINE	R

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added features in claim 1" after sending said messages to all of said other nodes " raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.